



An early vacuum phase

Guth suggested a period before radiation dominance in which a dense "vacuum" (scalar field) dominated the energy density.

The Freidmann equation tells us that the scale factor grows exponentially with time.

More and more vacuum is made, while other components quickly dilute away, leaving pure exponential expansion.

Vacuum: $E(a) = \Omega_v^{1/2} \approx 1$ so $da/dt = aH \rightarrow a \sim exp(Ht)$

Note: H, here, is a <u>constant</u> = $(3/8\pi G\rho_v)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. So the e-folding time is the Hubble time, or equivalently, roughly the cosmic age at that time (assuming the standard model).







Short doubling time

Inflation is thought to occur at high energy density (early time).

<u>Example</u>: at 1 pico-sec, $\rho \sim 10^{24}$ tons/cm³, and t_H ~ 1 ps For duration of 100 ps, a grows by $\sim 2^{100} \approx 10^{30}$ volume by 10^{90} (note, in radiation universe, a grows by $100^{1/2} = 10$, Vol by 10^3)

We currently don't know how long (how many e-folds) inflation lasted – maybe 100, maybe 10⁶, maybe 10¹⁰?

As you know, exponentials grow enormously fast.

It doesn't even matter what units you use to describe the final size of the region: $10^{1,000,031}$ nm = $10^{1,000,000}$ Mpc







Example: GUT inflation

 $\label{eq:example: if inflation occurs at the GUT era: $T \approx 10^{16}$ GeV $\approx 10^{28}$ K, so end of inflation has $a $\approx 10^{-28}$ ($T $\sim 1/a$ with $T $\approx 1K$ at $a ≈ 1). }$

So <u>after</u> inflation to today: a grows by 10^{28} . So <u>during</u> inflation: a must grow by 10^{28} leaving $1-\Omega_t \approx 10^{-56}$. (note: we're ignoring the $\sim 10^{3.5}$ growth during matter era).

Two periods of equal growth: first lasts \sim 90 x 10⁻³⁶ sec, (~90 e-fold is \sim 10²⁸) second lasts 13.7 Gyr.

Standard way to display this using inflating sphere/balloon:



During radiation/matter era: horizon grows faster than scale factor & curvature radius \rightarrow we see larger fraction of "sphere"

Solving the Horizon Problem

Keep example of GUT inflation: current horizon size ≈ 45 Gly $\approx 10^{18}$ light-seconds. So, at the GUT era this region is $\approx 10^{-10}$ ls across (10^{28} x smaller)

In the standard model, the horizon size at 10^{-36} s is $\approx 10^{-36}$ ls, so our current universe was $\sim 10^{26}$ times larger than the horizon size at that time, which is enormously causally disconnected.

However, with inflation, our region is a <u>further</u> $x10^{28}$ smaller, or 10^{-38} Is across, <u>before</u> inflation, which is 100 x <u>smaller</u> than the horizon at that time.

 \rightarrow We've just solved the horizon problem: a coherent causally connected region ultimately created our visible Universe.

Note: same 90 e-fold minimum solves both flatness & horizon.











When it settles, it rolls back and forth, and the energy of the field is transferred to all other quantum fields \rightarrow radiation era.



Origin of structure

About a year after Guth introduced inflation, it was realized that inflation could solve the "structure problem" – i.e. it could naturally generate the right kind of density fluctuations that over time could turn into stars and galaxies.

Many people regard this as one of the greatest successes of inflation.

The key idea is that quantum fluctuations of the inflaton field get turned into real fluctuations as they leave the Hubble sphere. They then get stretched by expansion. The ongoing nature of inflation creates a heirarchy of fluctuations on all scales.











The initial fluctuation spectrum has the Harrison-Zel'dovich "scale invariant" form Rather simple arguments suggest that the primordial fluctuations should be "scale invariant" in the potential. $<\delta(\phi)/\phi>_k = const$ In practice, this means: Ed Harrison (1919 - 2007) Yakov Zel'dovich (1914 - 1987) b)

a) Density variations are greater on smaller scales. $\tilde{P}(k) = \langle \delta(\rho) / \rho \rangle_k^2 \propto k$ The density variation on the scale of the horizon is always the same:

 $[\delta(\rho)/\rho]_{Hor}\approx 10^{-5}$













Further tests of inflation

The fact that the initial power spectrum is of roughly the correct form, $P(k) \propto k$, is encouraging, but there are further signatures of inflation.

1) As inflation ends, the inflaton field energy drops, and the expansion slows from pure exponential. This makes the power spectrum curve over, so we expect an index *n* slightly less than 1, decreasing to higher k. This is called <u>*tilt*</u> and <u>*curvature*</u>.

2) The quantum origin means the *phases* of the waves are *random*. This in turn leads to a *Gaussian random field*.

3) Inflation generates *gravity waves* (tensor fluctuations), with amplitude that depends on when inflation ocurred. These induce "B-mode" patterns in the CMB *polarization*.







Inflation is stunningly creative

- 1) Inflation makes the universe out of (almost) nothing.
- 2) Inflation makes a creative universe with low-entropy.
- 3) Inflation can make many universes, not just ours.
- Recall, a Newtonian sum of all total energy within a Hubble volume suggests zero: negative gravitational binding energy equals the rest-mass energy within the sphere. In GR the zero curvature measurement is equivalent to this. So, it suggests the birth process may have started from ~nothing.

It transpires, a tiny seed is needed to start inflation going. Using Newtonian language: the gravitational energy released by expansion must be enough to make the new shell of vacuum. A seed is needed to start inflation



This is in fact the "black hole" condition. If the sphere contained normal matter or radiation (with w > 0) it would collapse. However, since vacuum has w = -1, inflation occurs instead. E.g. for GUT inflation, $\rho \sim 10^{73}$ tons/cm³, R $\sim 10^{-11}$ fm, M ~ 1 kg















